

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/658,310	09/09/2003	Ed H. Frank	14177US02	2145	
23446 MCANDREW	7590 07/14/200 'S HELD & MALLOY,	EXAM	EXAMINER		
500 WEST MADISON STREET			JOHNSON, CARLTON		
SUITE 3400 CHICAGO, II	, 60661		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2136		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/14/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## **Advisory Action** Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/658,310	FRANK ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
CARLTON V. JOHNSON	2136	

	CARLTON V. JOHNSON	2136					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 25 June 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS API	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.					
<ol> <li>M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of App for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 ( periods:</li> </ol>	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.						
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi r than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropri- nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
NOTICE OF APPEAL							
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>							
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NOTow);	ΓE below);					
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or			ne issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mnliant Amendment (	PTOL-324)				
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		Impliant Americanient (	102-324).				
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendmen	nt canceling the				
7. \( \subseteq  for purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		I be entered and an e	xplanation of				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bubecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good an							
was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).  9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation.</li> </ol>	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). 13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
/Nasser G Moazzami/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2136	/Carlton V. Johnson/ Examiner, Art Unit 2136						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Examiner, Art Unit 2136

## Response to Arguments

Applicant argues two features: (1) a request communicated over a PHY channel; and (b) communications over a PHY channel.

Chandrashekhar discloses a request for communications over a PHY communications channel. (see Chandrashekhar paragraph [0054], lines 3-5; paragraph [0054], lines 10-12; hybrid communications network; paragraph [0056], lines 1-3; request for communications service)

The Chandrashekhar prior art discloses a communication session hosted over a PHY channel. (see Chandrashekhar paragraph [0057], inies 1-5; paragraph [0062], lines 1-4; physical communications channel). A physical channel is a requirement in order to perform network communications between two network-connected endpoints. The Chandrashekhar prior art discloses that the hosted session can be over either one of multiple communications paths (channels) available to the prior art invention. (see Chandrashekhar paragraph [0040], lines 4-6; paragraph [0040], lines 4-6;

The Chandrashekhar prior art discloses authentication using a first physical (PHY) channel for a request for VPN service and a second physical (PHY) channel for the authentication procedure. (see Chandrashekhar Figure 3; paragraph [0567], lines 1-5; paragraph [0562], lines 1-4). The VPN manager utilizes an authentication server, which is connected by a communication such sor communications path and performs the authentication procedure. This is a different communications path than utilized for the request for VPN service from user1 to the VPN manager (enhanced application portal). The Chandrashekhar prior art discloses the claim limitation of a first channel for processing a request and a second channel for authentication.

The Chandrashekhar prior art discloses multiple communications paths available for access to the authentication server, to host a communications seasoin, and for the secure transfer of security information (encryption/decryption keys). (see Chandrashekhar paragraph [0040], lines 4-ft, paragraph [0040], lines 4-ft, paragraph [0040], lines 4-ft.